



**Association of Biophysical Profile With Neonatal Outcome In Patients Presenting With Reduced Fetal Movements:**

**An Observational Study At SMS Medical College, Jaipur Rajasthan**

Usha Poonia<sup>1</sup>, Reena Pant<sup>2</sup>, Krishna Priya Banerjee<sup>3</sup>

<sup>1</sup>III<sup>rd</sup> Yr. Post Graduate Student, <sup>2,3</sup> Sr. Professor

Department of Obstetrics & Gynaecology, SMS Medical College, Jaipur

**Corresponding Author:** Reena Pant, Sr. Professor, Department of Obstetrics & Gynaecology, SMS Medical College, Jaipur

**Type of Publication:** Original Research Paper

**Conflicts of Interest:** Nil

**Abstract**

**Background:** Perinatal period is the most vulnerable period in the life of an individual and the rate of deaths during this period is higher than any other period of life. Reduced fetal movements is a common indication for assessment of fetal well being. Reduced fetal movements is considered as high risk pregnancy because the fetus is at high risk of hypoxia and sudden demise. Biophysical profile predicts neonatal acidosis at delivery better than APGAR score and thus the risk of fetal death.

**Methods:** Hospital based prospective study conducted at Department of Obstetrics and Gynecology, SMS Medical College, Jaipur. Total 130 pregnant women were included in this study.

**Results:** Low BPP score was associated with low Apgar score, increased rate of neonatal admissions and increased neonatal deaths.

**Conclusion:** The association between BPP score, APGAR score, mode of delivery and NICU admission was statistically significant.

**Keywords:** BPP score, Fetal outcome, survived.

**Introduction**

Perinatal period is the most vulnerable period in the life of an individual and the rate of death during this period is higher than any other period of life. Pre term births, infections, hypertensive diseases and intrapartum asphyxia are cited as most important contributors for perinatal mortality.<sup>1</sup> Almost 2/3rd of perinatal deaths occur due to obstetric factors, perinatal hypoxia and infections, which are preventable causes. Maternal perception of fetal movements is one of the first signs of fetal life and is regarded as a manifestation of fetal well being. Movements are first perceived by the mother between 18 to 20 weeks of gestation and rapidly acquire a regular pattern<sup>1</sup>. Fetal movements have been defined as any discrete kick, flutter, swish or roll<sup>1</sup>.

A significant reduction or sudden alteration in fetal movements is a potentially important clinical sign. It has been suggested that reduced or absent fetal movements may be a warning sign of impending fetal death. Fetal movements tend to plateau at 32 weeks of gestation. There is no reduction in the frequency of fetal movements in the late third trimester<sup>2</sup>.

Reduced fetal movements is a common indication for assessment of fetal well being. Reduced fetal movements is considered as high risk pregnancy because the fetus is at high risk of hypoxia and sudden demise .

To address this problem, various antenatal fetal surveillance methods have been devised in the past few decades and the search for best is still ongoing. Antepartum fetal testing is a compilation of methods devised to differentiate normal from compromised fetuses prior to onset of labor. The main techniques for fetal assessment are non-stress test (NST), contraction stress test (CST), Biophysical profile, fetal movements count, modified Biophysical Profile Score and Umbilical Artery Doppler Velocimetry.<sup>2</sup> The NST and CST are two primary methods available for fetal surveillance but are poor predictors of an asphyxiated fetus.

Biophysical Profile is the combination of NST and dynamic real-time B mode ultra-sonographic assessment of certain fetal parameters. It is a clinical tool that integrates level of dynamic biophysical activities into a usable standard.<sup>3</sup> It includes both acute markers of fetal status and some chronic markers of fetal and intrauterine conditions. Biophysical profile predicts neonatal acidosis at delivery better than APGAR score and thus the risk of fetal death. In a compromised fetus, measures can be taken to intervene before progressive metabolic acidosis leads to fetal death.<sup>4-6</sup>

The purpose of this study was to evaluate BPP as an effective predictor for the assessment of fetal condition and to improve fetal outcome by early detection of fetal hypoxia, in women presenting with reduced fetal movements at Department of Obstetrics and Gynecology, SMS Medical College, Jaipur (Rajasthan).

## Material and Methods

### Type of Study

An observational study .

### Study Design

Longitudinal study.

### Place of Study

Department of Obstetrics and Gynecology, SMS Medical College, Jaipur

### Duration Of Study

April 2017 onwards for a period of one year till desired sample size is reached for data collection and 2 months for data compilation and analysis.

### Inclusion Criteria

- Age 18-35 yr.
- Singleton pregnancy of >37 weeks of gestation .
- Women with decreased fetal movements.
- Women Giving Written Consent.

### Exclusion Criteria

- Women with intrauterine fetal death.
- Women in labor.

### Sample Size

Sample size is calculated at 95% confidence level assuming that low birth weight in 50% of the mothers with decreased fetal movements as per results of reference study (IJHRS:VOL3; Issue 7, July 2013).

At absolute allowable error of 10%, minimum 96 cases with decreased fetal movements are required as sample size , which is enhanced and rounded off to 130 cases , as final sample size expecting 30% dropout /loss of follow up/attrition.

### Statistical Analysis

Continuous variables were summarised as Mean and Standard Deviation whereas nominal / categorical variables as proportion (%).

Unpaired 't' test and parametric test were used for analysis of continuous variables while chi-square test / Fischer exact test and other non-parametric test was used for normal / categorical variables.

p-value < 0.05 was taken as significant.

MEDCALC 16.4 version software was used for all statistical analysis.

**Observations and Results**

Table 1

Distribution according to BPP score

BPP score	No. of women	Percentage
≤4	2	1.54
6	25	19.23
≥8	103	79.23
Total	130	100.00

In our study, 79.23% women had ≥8 BPP score followed by 19.23% had 6 BPP score and 1.54% had ≤4 BPP score.

Table 2

Distribution according to AFI in Ultrasonography report

AFI	No. of women	Percentage
>5 cm	113	86.92
≤5 cm	17	13.08
Total	130	100.00

On USG, AFI>5 cm was found in 86.92% cases and in 13.08% cases, AFI was ≤5 cm.

Table 3

Association between ultrasonography findings and fetal outcome

AFI	Fetal outcome		Total
	Survive	Death	
>5 cm	112 (99.12%)	1 (0.88%)	113 (86.92%)
≤5 cm	10 (58.82%)	7 (41.18%)	17 (13.08%)
Total	122 (93.85%)	8 (6.15%)	130 (100.00%)

Chi-square =41.53 df=1 p-value=0.001

Out of total, 17 cases who had AFI ≤5 cm in USG, fetal outcome was poor in 41.18% cases.

The association between AFI findings and fetal outcome was found statistically significant.

Table 4

Association between BPP score and fetal outcome

BPP Score	fetal outcome		Total
	Survive	Death	
≤4	0 (0.00%)	2 (100.00%)	2 (1.54%)
6	19 (76.00%)	6 (24.00%)	25 (17.69%)
≥8	103 (100.00%)	0 (0.00%)	103 (79.23%)
Total	122 (93.85%)	8 (6.15%)	130 (100.00%)

Chi-square =69.53 df=2 p-value=0.001

Out of 2 women, who presented with ≤4 BPP score, both babies were expired. Out of 25 women who presented with 6 BPP score, 19 (76.00%) babies survived and 6 (24%) babies were died. Out of 103 patients present with ≥8 BPP score, all 103 (100%) babies survived.

In our study, statistically significant association between BPP score and neonatal outcome was noted with p value of <0.001.

Table 5

Association between BPP score and APGAR score at 5 min.

BPP score	APGAR score			Total
	1-3	4-7	>7	
≤4	0 (0.0%)	2 (100.00%)	0 (0.0%)	2 (1.54%)
6	0 (0.0%)	22 (88.0%)	3 (12.00%)	25 (17.69%)
≥8	0 (0.0%)	19 (18.45%)	84 (81.55%)	103 (79.23%)
Total	0 (0.00%)	43 (33.08%)	87 (66.92%)	130 (100.00%)

Chi-square =85.82 df=2 p-value=0.001

Out of 2 women who presented with 0-4 BPP score, both neonates had APGAR score ≤4 at 5 min. Out of 25 women presenting with 6 BPP score, 22 babies had APGAR score ≤4 and 3 babies had APGAR >7. Out of 103 women who presented with ≥8 BPP score, all 84 babies were born with APGAR score more than 7.

The association between APGAR and BPP score was statistically significant.

Table 6

Association between BPP score and NICU admission

BPP score	NICU admission		Total
	Yes	No	
≤4	2 (100.00%)	0 (0.00%)	2 (1.54%)
6	22 (88.00%)	3 (12.00%)	25 (17.69%)
≥8	16 (15.53%)	87 (84.47%)	103 (79.23%)
Total	40 (30.77%)	90 (69.23%)	130 (100.00%)

Chi-square =56.52 df=2 p-value=0.001

Out of 2 , 100.00% babies were admitted in NICU in BPP score ≤4. Out of 25 , 88.00% were admitted in NICU in BPP score 6. Out of 103 , 15.53% were admitted in NICU in BPP score ≥8. The association between BPP score and NICU admission was found statistically significant.

Table 7

Association between BPP score and mode of delivery

BPP score	Mode of delivery		Total
	ND	LSCS	
≤4	0 (00.00%)	2 (100.00%)	2 (1.54%)
6	7 (28.00%)	18 (72.00%)	25 (17.69%)
≥8	79	24 (23.30%)	103

	(76.70%)		(79.23%)
Total	86 (66.15%)	44 (33.85%)	130 (100.00%)

Chi-square =26.09 df=2 p-value=0.001

Out 2 , 100.00% babies delivered by LSCS in BPP score ≤4. 72.00% babies delivered by LSCS in BPP score 6. 23.30% babies delivered by LSCS in BPP score ≥8 . The association between BPP score and mode of delivery was found statistically significant.

### Discussion

The present study was undertaken to correlate decrease fetal movements with ultrasonography findings and fetal outcome in Department of Obstetrics and Gynaecology, SMS Medical College, Jaipur. This study was conducted on total 130 women.

In our study, 79.23% women had 8-10 BPP score followed by 19.23% had 4-6 BPP score and 1.54% had 0-2 BPP score. Gurmeet Singh et al<sup>7</sup> conducted a similar study on 200 women complaining of reduced fetal movements and found that, 159 cases (79.5%) had BPP score of 8. BPP score of 6 and 10 was seen in 7(3.5%) and 34(17%) cases respectively. None had score of 0, 2 and 4. Similar results were noted by Manning et al<sup>8</sup> in 2009 with normal score of 8-10 in 97.5%, 6 score in 1.7%, 4 score in 0.52%, 2 score in 0.18% and 0 score in 0 % cases. In our study on USG, AFI>5 cm was found in 86.92% cases and in 13.08% cases, AFI was ≤5 cm. A study conducted by Syeda. R.M et al,<sup>9</sup> on 50 women complaining of reduced fetal movements reported that 96% of women had AFI>5 cm, 4% had ≤5 cm.

In our study, statistically significant association between BPP score and neonatal outcome was noted with p value of <0.001. In a study conducted by Nashville TN,<sup>10</sup> modified ultrasonography based BPP was used which included expanded scores of fetal movements, fetal

breathing and qualitative assessment of accelerated placental maturity and this method was compared with method of Vintzileos et al<sup>11</sup> and applied to 180 high risk pregnancies to determine the correlation with perinatal outcome. Relationship of results of total score and perinatal outcome showed good predictive values with high specificity and sensitivity. Similar results were found in study conducted in Radiology department PGMI, government Lady Reading Hospital, Peshawar from December 2007 to June 2008.

It was found in our study that neonates born to mothers with low BPP had higher risk of having low APGAR score at 1 min. and 5 min. Out of 2 mothers who presented with 0-4 BPP score, APGAR score was 4-7 in both neonates. Out of 25 women, having 6 BPP score, 19 babies had APGAR score 4-7 and 5 had APGAR less than 3. Out of 103 women presenting with 8-10 BPP score, all 78 babies had APGAR more than 7. The association between APGAR and BPP score was statistically significant. Kikwai Willey Kibet et al<sup>12</sup> also observed that the significant association between APGAR score and BPP score. Johnson et al.<sup>13</sup> in her study on BPP in management of post term pregnancy found an increase in perinatal morbidity in fetuses exhibiting an abnormal BPP. This study is very much comparable to our study where abnormal BPP was associated with increased perinatal morbidity.

### Conclusion

In our clinical set up, we found a significant correlation between decrease fetal movements and poor fetal outcome. The association between BPP score APGAR, NICU admission and mode of delivery was statistically significant. So proper clinical evaluation and BPP assessment is indicated in any women presenting with decrease fetal movements so that fetal hypoxia can be detected early before fetal death. and it is recommended

that women and health care providers should be educated about fetal movements counting as a routine antenatal evaluation and the importance of early awareness of decrease fetal movements must be emphasized, so that early intervention may be done to prevent poor fetal outcome.

### References

1. Vintzileos AM, Campbell WA, Rodis JF. Foetal biophysical profile scoring: current status. *Clin Perinatol.* 1998;16(3):661-89.
2. Filho HA, Junior AE, Nardoza LM, Costa LL, Moron AF, Mattar R. Ultrasound assessment of the fetal biophysical profile: what does a radiologist need to know? *Eur J Radiol.* 2008;66(1):122-6.
3. Lalor JG, Fawole B, Alfirevic Z, Devane D. Biophysical profile for fetal assessment in high risk pregnancies. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev.* 2008;23:CD000038.
4. Alfirevic Z, Neilson JP. Biophysical profile for fetal assessment in high risk pregnancies. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev.* 2000;2:CD000038.
5. Filho GHA, Araujo E, Nardoza LM, Costa DLL, Moron AF, Mattar R. Ultrasound assessment of the fetal biophysical profile: what does a radiologist need to know? *Eur J Radiol.* 2008;66:122-6.
6. Hofmeyr GJ, Novikova N. Management of reported decreased fetal movements for improving pregnancy outcomes. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev,* 2012; 4 : CD009148.
7. Singh G, Sood R, Kaur K. Association of biophysical profile with neonatal outcome: an observational study. *Int J Contemp Pediatr* 2017;4:421-5.
8. Mangesi L, Hofmeyr GJ, Smith V, Smyth RM. Fetal movement counting for assessment of fetal wellbeing. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev.* 2015 Oct 15;(10):CD004909.

9. Syeda.R.M, Shakuntala.P.N, Rao SR et. al. Fetal outcome in pregnant women with reduced fetal movements. *Int J Health Sci Res.* 2013;3(7):18-28.
10. Nashvik, Elizabeth GV, Susan T, Deborah AW. Low amniotic fluid index as a predictor of adverse perinatal outcome. *J Perinatol.* 2002;22:282-5.
11. Vinzieles, Claire M, Meaney S, O'Donoghue K. Perinatal outcomes of reduced fetal movements: a cohort study. *BMC Pregnancy Childbirth.* 2016;16:169.
12. Kikwai Willey Kibet. Fetal Outcomes Among Pregnant Women Presenting With Reduced Fetal Movements At Kenyatta National Hospital: A Cross Sectional Study
13. Vintzileous AM, Campbell WA, Ingardie CJ, Nochimson DJ. The fetal BPP and its predictive value. *Obstet Gynecol.* 1983;62:217-8.
14. Johnson JM, Harman CR, Lange IR, Manning FA. Biophysical profile scoring in the management of the post term pregnancy: An analysis of 307 patients. *Am J Obstet Gynecol* 1986;154:269-73